

## Presidents report for the month of October to Mid November

Section 17-I received a call from Kevin Estes within a few days of our October meeting. He passed along the same information we all heard at the October meeting-This agreement has been in place since the beginning, Area 17 homeowners understand and agree to the maintenance costs of the surrounding area (this not being a change from what is currently taking place), Estes builders is not finished with the development of area 17, they have reached the number of homes built from the original agreement to move control over to the board concerned with Area 17. I asked Kevin to write up a brief explanation, which he has already done for other concerned parties, and we can run this in the next news letter.

RV parking-I would like to put before the board the possibility of using Sequim Community church youth group to pull weeds manually from the lot with the money being a "donation" to the groups summer camp fund. During our last meeting Mike from the water district noted clearly to us that the area cannot be sprayed with Herbicide, which to me leaves manual removal. We can hire one of our grounds keepers to do this or possibly use a group such as suggested above a couple of times a year to knock the weeds down with weekly spruce up done by our ground keeper. I am interested in the board's opinion on the appropriateness of this possible action. If this seems appropriate, would one of you create a motion to allow me to move forward with contacting the group? Please word the motion to allow groups as a plural.

Davis sand and gravel- Steve and I met with Dana Davis and his main operator Jim Gregor on October 19, at their request. To be brief, Davis sand and gravel is testing the waters concerning a future request to expand their rock pit. The length of time since the last request (11 years) makes this a reasonable inquiry and Steve and I treated it seriously. After discussing the possibility of expansion and just what that expansion would look like in Mr. Davis view I encouraged Mr. Davis to put forth a proposal to the board, which I would recommend we put to a Sunland committee for discussion and recommendation. The ball is in Mr. Davis court and we await his written proposal for further discussion. I was not under the impression this was something we can expect next week. This is more of a yes/no. The when is to follow? I would encourage the board to seek Steve's view on this event as well.

Dog attack-I am sure you are all aware of the recent dog on dog, dog on walker attack last week. I spoke to the victim last week and received a rundown of events. Mr. Larson was bitten in the hand and strains to his hamstring in the battle with the two dogs, the Larson's dog was bitten in the hips and required stitches. The vet bill was \$600.00 and the emergency room visit, at best guess, will be \$1600.00. In looking at the dog leash rules, I find the fine associated with this very weak in view of this event. I would suggest we stiffen up this rule with language around an attack or injury to homeowners or pet from an

unleashed animal. The level of change would be a topic to bat around the group as I assume most of you feel the same? As a motion, If your unleashed animal injures another animal or homeowner the board **may** fine the owner up to \$1000.00 per event, each event requiring review, of course.

I would also like to proxy my vote on any matter that comes up to Mrs. Horton.